

LOW VELOCITY IMPACT RESPONSE OF RC BEAM WITH ARTIFICIAL
POLYETHYLENE AGGREGATE AS CONCRETE BLOCK INFILL

MOHAMED M. MUSTAFA

A thesis submitted in
fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the
Doctor of Philosophy



Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

January 2018

To my supervisor and family, thank you for your support.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises are due to **ALLAH** Subhanahu Wa Ta'ala for inspiring me with strength and energy to achieve this modest study. I am deeply grateful to all those who have encouraged and supported me, discussed ideas and insights, which have contributed in various ways to complete my study. I would like to thank the supervisor Dr. Shahrul Niza Mokhtar, for his support throughout the research, to the co-supervisor Dr. Zainorizuan Bin Mohd Jaini and Dr. Josef Hadipramana, to the University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia for the financial support Geran Insentif Penyelidik Siswazah (GIPS) Vot U271 and Research Support Grant Scheme (RSGS) Vot U100.



PTTAUTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ABSTRACT

In structural design, an ideal situation for saving materials would be to reduce the weight of the structure without having to compromise on its strength and serviceability. A new lightweight composite reinforced concrete section was developed with a novel use of a lightweight concrete block as infill utilizing Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (APEA and MAPEA). The concrete near the neutral axis acts as a stress transfer medium between the compression and tension zones. Partial replacement of the concrete near the neutral axis could create a reduction in weight and savings in the use of materials. In this experimental work, APEA and MAPEA were utilized as replacement for normal aggregates (NA) at percentages of 0%, 3%, 6%, and 9%, 12%, and 100% in the concrete mix. In this study, the concrete block infill uses the 100% MAPEA as a replacement for coarse aggregate. A total of sixteen beams were prepared measuring 170 mm × 250 mm × 1000 mm, in which four specimens were used as control samples (NRC) and twelve specimens were the reinforced concrete beam incorporated with different size of concrete block infill (RCAI) consisting of 100% MAPEA. All beams were tested with 100 kg steel weight dropped vertically from a height of 0.6 m and 1.54 m, which was equivalent to 3.5 m/s and 5.5 m/s respectively. Based on the experimental results, the impact force, displacement and crack patterns were affected by the impact load. For RCAI specimens, the impact force was larger but smaller displacement value was observed, compared to the NRC specimens. Furthermore, the width of the cracks generated in the RCAI specimens near the mid-span was less than that on the NRC specimen. All experiment results were validated against FEM. The transient impact force histories, displacement and crack patterns obtained from FEM matched reasonably well with the experiment results. The error reported a range of 1% to 15%. The results showed that the proposed use of concrete block infill produced desirable results under the impact loads. The main advantages of the concrete block infill that utilized MAPEA from waste plastic bags due to the weight reduction about 6% in the concrete beams.

ABSTRAK

Dalam reka bentuk struktur, keadaan ideal untuk menjimatkan bahan adalah dengan mengurangkan berat struktur tanpa perlu berkompromi pada kekuatan dan kebolehhidmatan. Konkrit bertetulang ringan komposit yang baru telah dibangunkan dengan penggunaan blok konkrit ringan yang baru sebagai *infill* dengan menggunakan Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (APEA dan MAPEA). Konkrit berhampiran paksi neutral bertindak sebagai medium pemindahan tekanan antara zon mampatan dan ketegangan. Penggantian separa konkrit berhampiran paksi neutral boleh mengurangkan berat dan penjimatan dalam penggunaan bahan. Dalam kajian ini, agregat tiruan yang dinamakan sebagai APEA dan MAPEA telah digunakan sebagai agregat kasar dalam campuran konkrit pada peratus 0%, 3%, 6%, and 9% %, 12%, dan 100% enam belas rasuk konkrit disediakan berukuran 170 mm \times 250 mm \times 1000 mm, di mana empat spesimen digunakan sebagai sampel kawalan (NRC) dan dua belas spesimen adalah rasuk konkrit yang digabungkan dengan blok konkrit *infill* (RCAI) yang mengandungi 100% MAPEA. Semua rasuk konkrit diuji dengan hentaman 100 kg berat besi yang jatuh secara menegak dari ketinggian 0.6 m dan 1.54 m, bersamaan 3.5 m / s dan 5.5 m / s. Berdasarkan keputusan eksperimen, daya impak, anjakan dan corak keretakan dipengaruhi oleh keadaan beban impak. Bagi spesimen RCAI, daya impak adalah lebih besar dan nilai anjakan lebih kecil berbanding dengan NRC. Selain itu, lebar retak yang dijana dalam RCAI berhampiran tengah rentang kurang daripada NRC. Semua keputusan eksperimen telah disahkan melalui FEM. Beban hentaman, anjakan dan corak keretakan yang diperoleh dari FEM dipadankan dengan baik dengan keputusan eksperimen. Perbezaan yang dilaporkan dalam lingkungan 1% ke 15%. Ini menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan blok konkrit *infill* yang dicadangkan menghasilkan keputusan yang diinginkan. –Kelebihan utama blok konkrit *infill* yang mengandungi MAPEA dari beg plastik sampah ialah dapat mengurangkan berat sebanyak 6% dalam rasuk konkrit tersebut.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem of statement	3
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Scope of research	5
1.5 Significant of study	6
1.6 Structure of thesis	7
1.7 Novelties	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Plastics	9
2.3 Plastic recycling	10
2.4 Recycling methods and construction application	10
2.4.1 Chemical modification	10
2.4.2 Mechanical technique	11
2.4.3 Chemical technique	11

2.4.4	Thermal reprocessing	12
2.4.5	Fillers	12
2.5	Various types of recycled plastic	12
2.5.1	Polyethylene	13
2.6	Lightweight aggregate concrete	14
2.6.1	Physical properties of lightweight aggregate concrete	15
2.7	Overview of Previous Studies on waste material as aggregate replacement	17
2.7.1	Evaluation of properties of waste plastic aggregate	17
2.7.2	Effects of plastic as a partial replacement of coarse aggregate in concrete mix (compressive strength)	17
2.7.3	Effects of plastic as a partial replacement of coarse aggregate in mix concrete (split tensile strength and modulus of elasticity)	23
2.8	Summary of effects of plastic as a partial replacement of coarse aggregate in concrete mix	23
2.9	The composite infill block section under static load	27
2.10	Summary infill block section under static load and impact load	29
2.11	Reinforced concrete structures under impact loading	32
2.11.1	Impact behaviour of concrete beams	33
2.11.2	Drop-weight impactor	34
2.12	Finite element method (FEM)	39
2.12.1	Explicit dynamics by ANSYS workbench	39
2.12.2	Numerical investigation by using FEM	43
2.13	Summary of FEM	46
2.14	Summary	48
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		49
3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Material Preparation	51
3.2.1	Cement	51
3.2.2	Fine aggregate	51
3.2.3	Coarse aggregate	52
3.2.4	Water	52
3.2.5	Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (APEA)	52

3.2.6	Modified Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (MAPEA)	54
3.3	Physical properties testing of Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate	55
3.3.1	Specific gravity	55
3.3.2	Water absorption test	56
3.3.3	Aggregate crushing value (ACV)	57
3.3.4	Aggregate impact value (AIV)	58
3.4	Mix design of concrete	59
3.5	Specimen organization	59
3.5.1	Compressive strength test	60
3.5.2	Tensile strength	61
3.5.3	Modulus of elasticity	62
3.5.4	Microscopic examination	63
3.6	Specimen preparations	63
3.7	Impact load test	69
3.7.1	Drop-weight impactor	70
3.7.2	Data logger, Impact force response and LVDT measurement	71
3.7.3	An experimental process	72
3.8	FEM Analysis of RC beam under impact load	72
3.8.1	Geometric modelling	72
3.8.2	Material modelling	74
3.8.3	Contact modelling	77
3.8.4	Mesh sizing	77
3.9	Summary	80

CHAPTER 4 PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF DIFFERENT ARTIFICIAL LIGHTWEIGHT AGGREGATES AND THEIR EFFECT ON CONCRETE STRENGTH		81
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	The results for physical properties of different type artificial aggregate	81
4.3	Mechanical properties of concrete containing artificial aggregate	83
4.3.1	Compressive strength test	83
4.3.2	Tensile strength of concrete	86

4.3.3	Young's Modulus and Poisson's ratio of concrete	87
4.4	Scanning electron microscope (SEM)	88
4.5	Summary	89

CHAPTER 5 BEHAVIOUR PERFORMANCE OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAM WITH DIFFERENTLY POSITIONED REPLACEMENT ZONES OF BLOCK INFILL UNDER LOW IMPACT VELOCITY 91

5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	Overview on reinforced concrete (RC) with block infill	91
5.3	Stress-strain relations of concrete	92
5.4	Rectangular-parabolic stress block	93
5.5	RC beam with differently positioned replacement zones of infill block	96
5.5.1	Block replacement zone (RZ-1)	96
5.5.2	Block replacement zone (RZ-2)	98
5.5.3	Block replacement zone (RZ-3)	99
5.6	Self-weight of beams	100
5.7	Experimental results	101
5.8	Crack patterns of the NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) after first and second impact of 3.5 m/s.	101
5.9	Impact force - time histories for the first impact of 3.5 m/s	104
5.10	Displacement-time histories for the first impact of 3.5 m/s	105
5.11	Impact Force - time histories for the second impact of 3.5 m/s	107
5.12	Displacement-time histories for the second impact of 3.5 m/s	108
5.13	Summary	109

CHAPTER 6 NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION OF DIFFERENT RC BEAMS UNDER LOW-VELOCITY IMPACT LOAD 111

6.1	Introduction	111
6.2	Mesh sensitivity	111
6.3	Comparison of finite element method results and experimental results	112
6.3.1	Transient impact forces of NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 3.5 m/s	113

6.3.2	Displacement histories of NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 3.5 m/s	115
6.3.3	Crack patterns and damage of NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 3.5 m/s	117
6.3.4	Transient impact forces of NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 5.5 m/s	120
6.3.5	Displacement histories of NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 5.5 m/s	122
6.3.6	Crack patterns and damage of NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 5.5 m/s	124
6.4	Summary	126
CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		128
7.1	Conclusion	128
7.1.1	To characterize the material properties and strength of concrete mix with the optimum percentage of Modified Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (APEA and MAPEA).	129
7.1.2	To determine the replacement zone of concrete block infill with MAPEA for RC beam	130
7.1.3	To predict the impact response of RC beam with Modified Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (MAPEA) block infill under low-velocity impact loads using proposed empirical formula.	130
7.1.4	To simulate the impact failure of RC beam incorporated with concrete block infill consisting of MAPEA	131
7.2	Recommendations	131
REFERENCES		133

LIST OF TABLES

2.1: Types of recycled plastics	13
2.2: Hardened concrete properties (Choi <i>et al.</i> , 2005)	24
2.3: Types and mechanical properties of substitution of natural aggregate by plastic aggregate in concrete reported in the literature	25
2.4: A brief summary of the previous research about reinforced concrete beam with infill block section	30
2.5: Shows the overview of previous research about FEM	47
3.1: Mix design concrete	59
3.2: Type and age of tests of compressive strength	60
3.3: Type and age of tests of Young's modulus, Poisson's ratio and tensile strength	60
3.4: Denotation and number of specimens	64
3.5: Material properties of different concrete	75
3.6: Material properties of steel reinforcement	76
4.1: Aggregate impact values of the APEA, MAPEA and the NA	82
4.2: Aggregate crushing value of the APEA, MAPEA and the normal aggregate	82
4.3: Specific gravity and water absorption	83
4.4: Compressive strengths of concrete mixes with different percentages of artificial lightweight aggregates. (MPa)	84
4.5: Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio of concrete mixes with different percentages of artificial lightweight aggregates at 28th day	88
5.1: Properties of RC Beams	100
5.2: Self-weight of beam specimens	100
5.3: Denotation of the beam specimen and the failure mode	101
5.4: Comparisons first impact force by percentage	104
5.5: Comparisons displacement by percentage under 3.5 m/s	106
5.6: Comparisons second impact force by percentage under 3.5 m/s	107

5.7: Comparisons displacement by percentage under 3.5 m/s	109
6.1: Comparison of recorded testing and simulation impact force histories for beams under 3.5m/s	115
6.2: Comparison of recorded testing and simulation displacement near mid-span for beam under 3.5 m/s	117
6.3: Comparison of recorded testing and simulation impact force histories for Beams under 5.5 m/s	122
6.4: Comparison of recorded testing and simulation displacement for beam under 5.5 m/s	123



LIST OF FIGURES

2.1: Impact responses of a Reinforced Concrete member (Fujikake <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	32
2.2: Contact-impact problem involving a concrete beam (Thabet & Haldane 2000)	34
2.3: Test setup Impact tests by Fujikake <i>et al.</i> , (2009)	35
2.4: Impact tests by Fujikake <i>et al.</i> , (2009): Specimen details	36
2.5: Failure modes: (a) S1616 series; (b) S1322 series; and (c) S2222 series (Fujikake <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	36
2.6: Impact response for S1616: (a) drop height = 0.15 m; (b) drop height = 0.3 m; (c) drop height = 0.6 m; and (d) drop height = 1.2 m (Fujikake <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	37
2.7: Schematic Diagram of the beam simulated by Sangi, (2011)	45
2.8: Comparison of final crack patterns and damage on beam simulated by Sangi (2011)	45
3.1: Flow chart for laboratory process and numerical simulation	50
3.2: Production of Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (APEA)	53
3.3: Production of Modified Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (MAPEA)	55
3.4: The Buoyancy Machine	56
3.5: Aggregate crushing value (ACV) Machine	57
3.6: Aggregate Impact value (AIV) Machine	58
3.7: Compressive strength test	61
3.8: Tensile strength test	62
3.9: Modulus of elasticity test	62
3.10: Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	63
3.11: Dimensions and details of Reinforced Concrete Beams (NRC)	65
3.12: Experimental step-up (1) Steel drop weight 66kg, (2) Steel frame (3) Beam support (4) Steel rig bracing, (5) Steel weight Support 34kg (6) Load cell (7) Data logger.	69

3.13: Drop-weight impact test	70
3.14: Tools measurement of impact load and displacement	71
3.15: An eight-nodal hexahedron element and the coordinate systems	73
3.16: Two-node 3D bar (ANSYS 16.1)	73
3.17: RHT Representation of Compressive Meridian	75
3.18: Model of stress-strain relationship of steel	76
3.19: Finite element model of different RC beams: (a) Concrete beam mesh (b) reinforcement mesh (c) Concrete block infill mesh	79
4.1: Comparison of compressive strength between APEA and MAPEA at 7 days (MPa)	85
4.2: Comparison of compressive strength between APEA and MAPEA at 28 days. (MPa)	86
4.3: The comparison of the tensile strength of concrete mixes with different percentages of artificial lightweight aggregates at 28th Days	87
4.4: Microstructure images of a) APEA concrete and b) MAPEA concrete	89
5.1: Parabolic-rectangular stress-strain diagram for Concrete in compression (Mosley <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	93
5.2: Section in bending with a rectangular-parabolic stress block (Mosley <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	94
5.3: Section in bending with a rectangular-parabolic stress block (Mosley <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	96
5.4: Positions of the block infill replacement zone for reinforced concrete infill beam (RZ-1)	97
5.5: Positions of the block infill replacement zone for reinforced concrete infill beam (RZ-2)	98
5.6: Positions of the block infill replacement zone for reinforced concrete infill beam (RZ-3)	99
5.7: Flexural cracks and failure of the tested beams after the first and second impact of 3.5 m/s.	103
5.8: Impact force-time histories	105
5.9: Displacement-time histories of 3.5 m/s	106
5.10: Impact force-time histories of 3.5 m/s	108
5.11: Mid-span displacement-time histories of 3.5 m/s	109

6.1: Mesh sensitivity: influence of mesh discretization on transient displacement histories, compared to NRC beam	112
6.2: Comparison of recorded testing and simulation impact force histories for beams NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 3.5 m/s	114
6.3: Comparison of recorded testing and simulation displacement near mid span for beam NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 3.5 m/s	116
6.4: Final crack pattern from testing and simulation beam NRC under 3.5 m/s	118
6.5: Final crack pattern from testing and simulation beam RCAI (RZ-1) under 3.5 m/s	119
6.6: Final crack pattern from testing and simulation beam RCAI (RZ-2) under 3.5 m/s	119
6.7: Final crack pattern from testing and simulation beam RCAI (RZ-3) under 3.5 m/s	120
6.8: Comparison of recorded testing and simulation impact force histories for beams NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 5.5 m/s	121
6.9: Comparison of recorded testing and simulation displacement near mid-span for beam NRC, RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3) under 5.5 m/s	123
6.10: Final crack pattern from testing and simulation beam NRC under 5.5 m/s	125
6.11: Final crack pattern from testing and simulation beam RCAI (RZ-1) under 5.5 m/s	125
6.12: Final crack pattern from testing and simulation beam RCAI (RZ-3) under 5.5 m/s	126

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	- Weight in grams (g) of the oven dry sample in air
B	- Weight in grams (g) of the Surface dry sample in air
C	- Weight in grams (g) of the Surface dry sample in air
D :	- Diameter of the cylinder.
L	- Length of the cylinder.
v	- Velocity
g	- Gravity (9.81m/s ²)
h	- Height
APEA	- Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate
MAPEA	- Modified Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate
NA	- Normal Aggregate
AIV	- Aggregate Impact Value
ACV	- Aggregate Crushing Value
S.G	- Specific gravity
ε_0	- The concrete strain at the end of the parabolic section
w	- The distance from the neutral axis to strain
k_2	- Depth to the centroid of the stress block.
X_u	- Depth of the neutral axis.
b	- Width of beam
D	- Total depth of the beam
d	- Distance between mid of steel to top of beams
d_{\max}	- Distance between surface beams to end of block replacement zone
$d_{\min 1}$	- Distance between surface block replacement zone to top of beams for (RZ-1)
$d_{\min 2}$	- Distance between surface block replacement zone to top of

	beams for (RZ-2)
$d_{\min 3}$	- Distance between surface block replacement zone to top of beams for (RZ-3)
d'	- Concrete layer thickness necessary to maintain the bond between concrete and steel
x_{b1}	- Distance between surface block replacement zone to compression force for (RZ-1)
x_{b2}	- Distance between surface block replacement zone to Compression force for (RZ-2)
f_{ck}	- Compressive strengths of normal concrete
f_{MAPEA}	- Compressive strengths of concrete mix containing 100% MAPEA
ASTM	- American Standard Test Method
BS	- British Standard
EC2	- Euro code 2
FEM	- Finite element method
LVDT	- Linear Variable Differential Transformers
NRC	- Normal reinforced concrete beam
RC	- Reinforced concrete
RCAI (RZ)	- Reinforced Concrete beam incorporated with differently positioned Replacement Zones concrete block infill

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Concrete is the most widely used man-made construction material in the world and second, only to water as the most utilized substance in the planet (Sumajouw & Rangan, 2006). One way to improve the concrete structure is to modify the concrete mixture itself. Modifying the concrete is one way of improving the concrete structure. Researchers had used numerous types of additional material to ensure that the concrete was strong and able to receive the load applied to it. Some of the materials that acted as coarse aggregate were Expanded Polystyrene (EPS), Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) and rigid polyurethane (PUR) (Sayadi *et al.*, 2016; Tapkire & Kumavat 2014 ; Fraj *et al.*, 2010). In this study, Low-density Polyethylene (LDPE), acting as the Modified Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (MAPEA), was the coarse aggregate in the concrete mixture aimed at improving the concrete's strength and produce a lightweight concrete. Given that sustainable consumption and production are of high priority, proper materials for concrete aggregates as well as being a form of waste disposal system are the main concerns of the construction industry at present. Recycled plastics were used to prepare coarse aggregates, which provided a sustainable option to deal with the reduction of plastic wastes. Utilization of recycled plastics as concrete aggregates poses an advantage, both for the environment and the construction industry. Furthermore, MAPEA are lighter in weight and have lower crushing values compared to stone aggregates (Raghatate, 2012).

Concrete has low tensile strength, therefore when a reinforced concrete structure is subjected to flexural, the concrete area under the neutral axis of cross-section is considered ineffective when it is in tension at the ultimate limited state. Therefore, the ineffective volume of reinforced concrete structure can be replaced by another material, in many-sided and interest, the concrete block infill or prefabricated lightweight concrete is highly likely to be used. Consequently, it produces a lighter and compositeness reinforced the concrete structure. Extensive research has been conducted on lightweight options, which include lightweight concrete (Bobrowski, 1980; Bungey & Madandoust, 1994; Ahmad *et al.*, 1994), hollow structure or prestressed hollow-core concrete slabs (Hegger *et al.*, 2009; Alnuaimi *et al.*, 2008), and composite sandwich structures (Russo & Zuccarello, 2007; Abbadi *et al.*, 2009; Meidell 2009) to enhance structural performance. Despite significant efforts to investigate the composite sandwich sections to minimize the weight of the structure, limited attempts have been conducted in incorporating Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (AAC) with normal reinforced concrete (RC) (Vimonsatit *et al.*, 2012).

In reinforced concrete structures, the material properties, weight and structural geometric are put into the indicator of performance and serviceability. Basically, concrete is highly designed to carry compression while steel reinforcements transfer tension stress and loading. The relationship between tension stress and strain in a normal concrete cross-section is almost linear at small values of stress. However, if the stress higher than about 40% of the compressive strength, the tension stress and strain become increasingly affected by the formation of micro-cracks at the interface between the cement and coarse aggregate (Vimonsatit *et al.*, 2012). The micro-cracks also initiate around the bonded the region of steel reinforcements and concrete. The inner part of the reinforced concrete structure under the neutral axis only acts as a passive volume that has a small contribution on the crack and failure resistance. However, the deformation of the reinforced concrete structure still largely depends on the whole volume of structure that basically can be investigated using flexural or shear capacity tests. The relation of strain and stress is useful to understand the behaviour of the reinforced concrete structure. (Jaini *et al.*, 2016)

Response of RC structures to impact is different from that caused due to static load. Also, impact load is a particular type of dynamic loading which needs special attention. There are several categories of impact loading, and specifically, these are low velocity (large mass), intermediate velocity, high/ballistic velocity (small mass),

and hypervelocity impact. These categories of impact loading are important because there are extreme changes in energy transfer between the projectile and target, energy dissipation and damage propagation mechanisms as the velocity of the projectile varies (Naik & Shrirao, 2004). Low-velocity impacts occur at a velocity below 10 m/s, intermediate impacts occur between 10 m/s and 50 m/s, high velocity (ballistic) impacts have a range of velocity from 50 m/s to 1000 m/s, and hypervelocity impacts have the range of 2 km/s to 5 km/s (Vaidya, 2011). Broadly, the impact load involves collision of vehicle into crash barriers, piers of bridges, drop of an object on beam, bullet or missile hitting structures, birds hitting airplane etc. In context of Civil Engineering problem, an investigation into the impact behaviour of RC members subjected to low velocity large mass is very important. The current work deals with low velocity large mass impact on RC structures. Low velocity impacts can be defined as events which can occur in the range 1–10 m/s depending on the target stiffness, material properties and the projectile mass and stiffness (Shivakumar *et al.*, 1985)

A concrete structure subjected to impact loading might deform, both internally and externally. For example, the internal effect faced by the concrete structure due to a projectile impact might result in a number of failure mechanisms such as crushing, plug formation and scabbing. The external effect usually results in a bending failure. There are a number of approaches used to investigate the effect on the concrete structure subjected to impact loading, such as experimental tests leading to empirical formulae, analytical approaches using mass-spring systems and/or vibrations and numerical techniques such as the finite element method.

1.2 Problem of statement

Low-density Polyethylene (LDPE) is a widely used material in Malaysia, where it is utilized virtually by all industries. Its production and usage are increasing rapidly because of its perceived feasibility, long life, and low cost. This increased utilization has correspondingly increased the amount of waste. In Malaysia, 0.8 kg of Polyethylene material is wasted daily. In urban areas, waste production has been estimated to 1.5 kg daily. Plastic bags waste LDPE considerably contributes to the increasing production of solid waste in Malaysia, with only 1% to 3% of such waste

being subjected to recycling. (Zoorob, 2000). The disposal of plastic bags as Polyethylene, particularly by burying them, can be problematic for any societies because most of these materials are non-biodegradable. The use of plastic bags is not only a major problem in landfills, but also presents adverse effects on the environment. Thus, innovative solutions must be developed to solve this growing problem. Recycled plastic can be used as coarse aggregates in concrete. Mustafa *et al.*, (2011) and Mokhatar *et al.*, (2014) has been found that the compressive concrete containing more 10% PE aggregates are lower than normal concrete, but the optimum prestige of LDPE aggregate is suitable for coarse aggregate replacement in concrete through their physical analysis.

The direct applications of LDPE - concrete as a concrete structure are still in doubt due to its strength. However, LDPE - concrete can be used as infill for RC beam. The combination of LDPE - concrete infill and normal concrete produces so-called composite-based-concrete-structure that has an advantage due to lighter weight. According to the various literature reviews, it is observed that there is a problem related to the depth and continuity of infill block. RC beam is highly designed to carry compression while steel reinforcements transfer tension stress and loadings. The relationship between transfer tension stress and strain in normal concrete cross-section is almost linear at a small value of stress (for stresses less than 40% of the compressive concrete strength). In this condition, the inner part, near neutral axis, only acts as a passive volume that has a small contribution to the crack and failure resistance. In addition, when an RC structure is subjected to flexural and shear, the concrete volume under the neutral axis of the cross-section is considered ineffective when it is in tension at ultimate limit states (Mohamad, & Muhammad, 2011; Patel, 2013; Mokhatar *et al.*, 2014). Thus, this study aimed to present the results of the impact tests on the reinforced concrete beam (NRC) and reinforced concrete beam incorporated with concrete block infill (RCAI) consisting of MAPEA with differently positioned Replacement Zones (RZ) of concrete block infill as well as to propose a novel lightweight reinforced concrete (RC). The block infill can be placed within the beam cross-section near the calculated depth of the neutral axis. It is vital to employ the latest technology, which is the combined Finite Element Method (FEM) by ANSYS, to ensure the accuracy and credibility of the numerical results, especially when referring to composite structures behaving in a complex manner.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this research are as follows:

- i. To characterize the material properties and strength of concrete mix with the optimum percentage of Modified Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (APEA and MAPEA).
- ii. To determine the replacement zone of concrete block infill with MAPEA for RC beam
- iii. To predict the impact response of RC beam with Modified Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (MAPEA) block infill under low-velocity impact loads using proposed empirical formula.
- iv. To simulate the impact failure of RC beam incorporated with concrete block infill consisting of MAPEA

1.4 Scope of research

The scope of this study is described as follows:

1. This study focused on two different types of Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (APEA and MAPEA) as replacements for normal aggregate (NA). A Recyclable Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate measuring 30 mm was gathered and then heated in an oven at approximately 150 °C for nearly 10 min.
2. To investigate the physical properties of APEA and MAPEA and NA, such as aggregate impact value, aggregate crushing value, specific gravity, and water absorption.
3. To study the mechanical properties, such as compressive strength Young's modulus, Poisson's ratio and tensile strength of concrete mixed with different types of aggregates NA, APEA and MAPEA and different percentage about 3%, 6%, 9%, 12% and 100%.
4. The experimental work involved the casting of sixteen beams of 170 mm × 250 mm × 1000 mm in which, four specimens were used as control samples (NRC) and twelve specimens were used as the reinforced concrete beam incorporated with concrete block infill (RCAI) consisting of 100% MAPEA with differently

positioned Replacement Zones (RZ) block infill RCAI (RZ-1), RCAI (RZ-2) and RCAI (RZ-3).

5. The reinforced concrete beam (RC) with artificial aggregate concrete block replacement zone infill RCAI (RZ-1, RZ-2, RZ-3) designs were based on Eurocode 2 as following :
 - i. The RCAI (RZ-1) beams contained 100 % MAPEA with 50 mm width, 115 mm height and 1000 mm length, and were provided for the block infill.
 - ii. The RCAI (RZ-2), beams with different block infill positions, contained 100 % MAPEA with 50 mm width, 95 mm height and 1000 mm length.
 - iii. The RCAI (RZ-3), beams were containing five standard cubes with 100 mm width, 100 mm height and 100 mm length.
6. The impact behaviour of the NRC and RCAI (RZ-1,2,3) were determined by a drop 100 kg steel weight attached to the load cell that was dropped vertically from a height of 0.6 m and 1.54 m, which was equivalent to 3.5 m/s and 5.5 m/s, respectively.
7. The finite element method (FEM) in ANSYS was also used to validate the experimental results.

1.5 Significant of Study

One of the advantages that can be drawn from this study is the solution for the disposal of a large amount of plastic materials by recycling and reusing plastic materials in the concrete industry, which is considered the most feasible application. Recycled plastic can be used as coarse aggregate in concrete. Aggregate production from plastic can produce lightweight concrete characteristics. The main requirement for this type of lightweight concrete is that it should exhibit adequate strength and low density to avoid cracking. This study aims to investigate the change in the mechanical properties of concrete with the addition of modified plastic as a coarse aggregate replacement in concrete and to evaluate the strength of the concrete structure of the concrete block infill. The results of the samples and laboratory tests can be used as references for future works. Furthermore, the development of analysis using FEM through the implementation of the appropriate constitutive model, which

can effectively simulate the effect of the failure of the RC beam with MAPEA, can be proposed.

1.6 Structure of thesis

This thesis consists of 7 chapters:

- i. The first chapter identifies the aims and scope of this research.
- ii. Chapter 2 describes previous research work related to plastic as coarse aggregate, lightweight concrete, hollow structure, composite, sandwich concrete, RC beam under impact load and utilizing ANSYS to simulate the reinforced concrete behaviour.
- iii. Chapter 3 describes the experimental work. Materials and equipment used in the test program, the specimen details and the test procedure used are reported here. The presentation and analysis of experimental test are given in chapter 4 and chapter 5.
- iv. Chapter 6 explains the Finite Element analysis used in this study. In this chapter, test results from the experimental work are compared with the Finite Element Analysis.
- v. Chapter 7 summarizes the findings of this investigation and presents a set of conclusions. Recommendations for further work are also given in this chapter.

1.7 Novelties

- i. To innovate Modified Artificial Polyethylene Aggregate (MAPEA): This exploratory aspires to have an alternative source of replacing natural aggregate with plastic wastes. An investigation of the MAPEA) as natural aggregate replacement in concrete through an experimental work was conducted in this study.
- ii. To determine the appropriate location of concrete block infill replacement zones: This thesis presents the novel design of RC beam with (MAPEA) concrete block infill. The combination of (MAPEA) concrete block infill and normal concrete beam produces composite-based concrete-structure that has an advantage due to the lighter weight.

REFERENCES

- Abbadi, A., Koutsawa, Y., Carmasol, A., Belouettar, S., & Azari, Z. (2009). Experimental and numerical characterization of honeycomb sandwich composite panels. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 17(10), 1533-1547.
- Abrate, S. (2011). Impact Engineering of Composite Structures: *CISM Courses and Lectures ISBN SpringerWienNewYork*.(526). 978-37091
- Adewuyi, A. P., & Adegoke, T. (2008). Exploratory study of periwinkle shells as coarse aggregates in concrete works. *ARNP Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 3(6), 1-5.
- Ahamad, S. H., Xie, Y., & Yu, T. (1994). Shear strength of reinforced lightweight concrete beams of normal and high strength concrete. *Magazine of Concrete Research*, 46(166), 57-66.
- Al Bakri, A. M., Tamizi, S. M., Rafiza, A. R., & Zarina, Y. (2011). Investigation Of HDPE Plastic Waste Aggregate On The Properties Of Concrete. *Journal of Asian Scientific Research*, 1(7), 340-345.
- Al-Hadithi, A. I., & Al-Nu'man, B. S. (2008). Behavior of polymer modified concrete slabs under impact. *Iraqi Journal of Civil Engineering*, 5(11), 1-24.
- Ando, T., Kishi, N., Matsuoka, K. G., & Mikami, H. (1999). FEM analysis on RC beams under impact loading. *In Proceedings of the Third Asia-Pacific Conference on Shock & Impact loads in Structures, Singapore* (51-6).
- ASTM C 127 Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity) the American Society for Testing And Materials Standard, USA.
- Barbosa, A., Idelsohn, S., Oñate, E., & Dvorkin, E. (1998). Analysis of reinforced concrete structures using ANSYS nonlinear concrete model.
- Batayneh, M., Marie, I., & Asi, I. (2007). Use of selected waste materials in concrete mixes. *Waste management*, 27(12), 1870-1876.

- Bhashyam, G. R. (2002). ANSYS Mechanical, A Powerful Nonlinear Simulation Tool. *Ansys, Inc*, 1(1), 39..
- Bobrowski, J. (1980). Outstanding applications of lightweight concrete and an appreciation of likely future developments. *International Journal of Cement Composites and Lightweight Concrete*, 2(1), 5-20.
- BS 812.110 (1990). Methods For Determination Of Aggregate Crushing Value(ACV). British Standards Institution, London.
- BS 812-112 (1990). Methods For Determination Of Aggregate Impact Value(AM). British Standards Institution, London.
- BS 882. Specifications for Aggregate for Natural Sources for Concrete, British Standard Institution, London, 1992.
- BS EN 1097-6 (2000). Tests For Mechanical And Physical Properties Of AGGREGATE PART 6- Determination Of Particle Density And Water Absorption. British Standards Institution.
- BS-EN-12390-3 (2009) Compressive Strength of Test Specimens.
- BubbleDeck technology .(2008). Retrieved on April 22,2016.From <http://www.bubbledeck.com> (accessed April 22rd (2016).
- Bungey, J. H., & Madandoust, R. (1994). Strength variations in lightweight concrete beams. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 16(1), 49-55.
- Büyükkaragöz, A., & Arslan, A. (2011). The effect of steel plates with shear studs for weak column–strong beam connections in the reinforced concrete structures under earthquake effect Strain, *Journal of Engineering* 47(2), 393-411.
- Cantwell, W. J., & Morton, J. (1991). The impact resistance of composite materials—a review. *composites*, 22(5), 347-362.
- Chandra Berntsson, L. (2003). Lightweight aggregate concrete science, technology and applications.,: *Noyes Publications*.30 (9)11-426
- Chen, Y., & May, I. M. (2009). Reinforced concrete members under drop-weight impacts. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Structures and Buildings*, 162(1), 45-56.
- Choi, Y. W., Moon, D. J., Chung, J. S., & Cho, S. K. (2005). Effects of waste PET bottles aggregate on the properties of concrete. *Cement and concrete research*, 35(4), 776-781.

- Choubey, U. B., & Gupta, U. (1992). Structural response of brick-filled reinforced concrete beams. In *Proceedings of 4th International seminar on Structural masonry for developing Countries, Madras* pp 226-233.
- Dahmani, L., Khennane, A., & Kaci, S. (2010). Crack identification in reinforced concrete beams using ANSYS software. *Strength of materials*, 42(2), 232-240.
- Eldin, N. N., & Senouci, A. B. (1993). Rubber-tire particles as concrete aggregate. *Journal of materials in civil engineering*, 5(4), 478-496.
- Ezeldin, A. S., & Balaguru, P. N. (1990). Characterization of bond between fiber concrete and reinforcing bars using nonlinear finite element analysis. *Computers & Structures*, 37(4), 569-584.
- Fahrizal Zulkarnain, Mahyuddin Ramli, (2008). Durability Performance of Lightweight Aggregate Concrete for Housing Construction 8(3) 541-551
- Fraj, A. B., Kismi, M., & Mounanga, P. (2010). Valorization of coarse rigid polyurethane foam waste in lightweight aggregate concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 24(6), 1069-1077.
- Fujikake, K., Li, B., & Soeun, S. (2009). Impact response of reinforced concrete beam and its analytical evaluation. *Journal of structural engineering*, 135(8), 938-950.
- Ghazy, M. F., El Hameed, A., & Fattouh, M. (2015). Optimization of Lightweight Concrete Process by Gray-Taguchi Method. *ACI Materials Journal*, 112(3).
- Gueraud, R., Sokolovsky, A., Kavyrchine, M., & Astruc, M. (1977). Study of the perforation of reinforced concrete slabs by rigid missiles—general introduction and experimental study, part I. *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, 41(1), 91-102.
- Gücüyen, E., Erdem, R. T., Kantar, E., & Bağcı, M. (2013). Determination of the Impact Behavior of Concrete and Reinforced Concrete Beams. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 18(3), 502-510.
- Hallquist, J. O. (2007). LS-DYNA keyword user's manual. *Livermore Software Technology Corporation*, 970.
- Hegger, J., Roggendorf, T., & Kerkeni, N. (2009). Shear capacity of prestressed hollow core slabs in slim floor constructions. *Engineering Structures*, 31(2), 551-559.

- Hearne, E. J., Brettell, R., & Bright, N. J. (1980). The behaviour of autoclaved aerated concrete blockwork subjected to concentrated loading. *International Journal of Cement Composites and Lightweight Concrete*, 2(1), 49-55.
- Hsu, T. T., Slate, F. O., Sturman, G. M., & Winter, G. (1999). Microcracking of plain concrete and the shape of the stress-strain curve. *In Journal Proceedings*, 60 (2), 209-224
- Hoffman, E. S., Gustafson, D. P., & Gouwens, A. J. (1998). Structural Lightweight Aggregate Concrete. *In Structural Design Guide to the ACI Building Code Springer, Bosto.* pp. 419-422.
- Ibrahim, A. M., & Mubarak, H. M. (2009). Finite Element Modeling of Continuous Reinforced Concrete Beam with External Prestressing. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 30(1), 177-186.
- Ismail, Z. Z., & Al-Hashmi, E. A. (2008). Use of waste plastic in concrete mixture as aggregate replacement. *Waste Management*, 28(11), 2041-2047.
- Ismail, Z. Z., & Al-Hashmi, E. A. (2009). Recycling of waste glass as a partial replacement for fine aggregate in concrete. *Waste management*, 29(2), 655-659.
- Jain Joy, Rajesh Rajeev (2014) Effect of Reinforced Concrete Beam with Hollow Neutral Axis *International Journal for Scientific Research & Development IJSRD*, 2 (10), 2321-613.
- Jaini. Z .M, K. H. Boon , S, N,Mokhatar , Ismail .M, H Hazmi , N H Hashim (2016) Structural behaviour of short-span reinforcedconcrete beams with foamed concrete infill. *ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences* (11)16
- Jaivignesh, B., & Sofi, A. (2017). Study on Mechanical Properties of Concrete Using Plastic Waste as an Aggregate. *In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* , 80 (1),012016). IOP Publishing.
- Jerome, D. M. (1996). Dynamic response of concrete beams externally reinforced with carbon fiber reinforced plastic. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Florida: Gainesville, Florida.
- Jin, L., Xu, J., Zhang, R., & Du, X. (2017). Numerical study on the impact performances of reinforced concrete beams A mesoscopic simulation method. *Engineering Failure Analysis*. 80 (17) 141–163

- Jo, B. W., Park, S. K., & Park, J. C. (2007). Mechanical properties of polymer concrete made with recycled PET and recycled concrete aggregates. *Construction and Building Materials*, 22(12), 2281-2291.
- Kachlakev, D. I., Miller, T., Yim, S. C., Chansawat, K., & Potisuk, T. (2001). Finite element modeling of reinforced concrete structures strengthened with FRP laminates. *Oregon Department of Transportation, Research Group*. 20(8) 97301-5192
- Karthik, .H.Eramma&Madhukaran(2014) Behavior Of Concrete Grade Variation In Tension And Compression Zones Of Rcc Beams *International Journal of Advanced Technology in Engineering and Science*,02(07) ,2348 -7550 .
- Kralj, D. (2009). Experimental study of recycling lightweight concrete with aggregates containing expanded glass. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 87(4), 267-273.
- Konowalski, K. (2009). Experimental research and modeling of normal contact stiffness and contact damping of machined joint surfaces. *Advances in Manufacturing Science and Technology*, 33(3), 53-68.
- Kockal, N. U., & Ozturan, T. (2011). Characteristics of lightweight fly ash aggregates produced with different binders and heat treatments. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 33(1), 61-67.
- Lawrence, K. L. (2012). ANSYS workbench tutorial release 14. *Schroff Development Corporation SDC publications*. (913) 262-2664.
- Lee, H. H. (2015). Finite element simulations with ANSYS workbench 16. *Schroff Development Corporation SDC publications*. SDC publications. 13(1) 978-6305
- Liu, Y., Liang, L., Irving, S., & Luk, T. (2008). 3D Modeling of electromigration combined with thermal–mechanical effect for IC device and package. *Microelectronics Reliability*, 48(6), 811-824.
- Lakshmi, R., & Nagan, S. (2010). Studies on concrete containing E plastic waste. *International journal of environmental sciences*, 1(3), 270.
- Maghsoudi, A. A., Mohamadpour, S. H., & Maghsoudi, M. (2011). Mix design and mechanical properties of self-compacting light weight concrete. *International Journal of Civil Engineering*, 9(3), 230-236.
- Mali, M. J. (2014). An Investigation Of The Properties Of Concrete Containing Granulated Plastic Bottle Waste As A Partial Replacement Of Fine Aggregates

degree of bachelor of science in civil engineering Thesis University Of Nairobi.

- Manalo, A. C., Aravinthan, T., & Karunasena, W. (2010). In-plane shear behaviour of fibre composite sandwich beams using asymmetrical beam shear test. *Construction and Building Materials*, 24(10), 1952-1960.
- Manikandan, S.Dharmar, S.Robertravi (2015) Experimental Study on Flexural Behaviour of Reinforced Concrete Hollow Core Sandwich Beams *International Journal of Advance Research In Science And Engineering, IJARSE*, .4 (01), 2319-8354.
- Marchese, B. (1983). Morphology and composition of twin fracture surfaces of a crack in Portland cement paste. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 13(3), 435-440.
- Marzouk, O. Y., Dheilily, R. M., & Queneudec, M. (2007). Valorization of post-consumer waste plastic in cementitious concrete composites. *Waste management*, 27(2), 310-318.
- Meidell, A. (2009). Minimum weight design of sandwich beams with honeycomb core of arbitrary density. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 40(4), 284-291.
- Memon, N. A., Sumadi, S. R., & Ramli, M. (2007). Ferrocement encased lightweight aerated concrete: a novel approach to produce sandwich composite. *Materials Letters*, 61(19), 4035-4038.
- Mohamad, N., & Muhammad, H. M. (2011). Testing of precast lightweight foamed concrete sandwich panel with single and double symmetrical shear truss connectors under eccentric loading. *In Advanced Materials Research* (335), 1107-1116.
- Mokhatar, S. N., Jaini, Z. M., Burhanudin, M. K., Jeni, M. L. A., and Ismail, M. N. N. (2014). An Experimental Study of Reinforced Concrete Beams with Artificial Aggregate Concrete Infill under Impact Loads. *International Civil And Infrastructure Engineering Conference 1(11)*691-701
- Mosley, W. H., Hulse, R., & Bungey, J. H. Reinforced concrete design: to Eurocode 2. Palgrave macmillan.2012
- Mousa, M. A., & Uddin, N. (2009). Experimental and analytical study of carbon fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) autoclaved aerated concrete (AAC) sandwich panels. *Engineering Structures*, 31(10), 2337-2344.

- Mustafa .M. Al Bakri, G. Che Mohd Ruzaidi, M. N Norazian, H. Kamarudin And S. Mohammad Tarmizi.(2011). Effects Of HDPE Plastic Waste Aggregate On The Properties Of Concrete. *Journal Of Asian Scientific Research*, 1(7) ., 340-345.
- Nie, J., Qin, K., & Cai, C. S. (2008). Seismic behavior of connections composed of CFSSTCs and steel–concrete composite beams finite element analysis. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 64(6), 680-688.
- Naik, N. K., & Shrirao, P. (2004). Composite structures under ballistic impact. *Composite structures*, 66(1), 579-590.
- Osei, D. Y. (2014). Experimental Investigation on Recycled Plastics as Aggregate in Concrete. *International Journal of Structural and Civil Engineering Research*, 3(2), 168-174.
- Özcan, D. M., Bayraktar, A., Şahin, A., Haktanir, T., & Türker, T. (2009). Experimental and finite element analysis on the steel fiber-reinforced concrete (SFRC) beams ultimate behavior. *Construction and Building Materials*, 23(2), 1064-1077.
- Padmarajaiah, S. K., & Ramaswamy, A. (2001). Behavior of fiber-reinforced prestressed and reinforced high-strength concrete beams subjected to shear. *ACI Structural Journal*, 98(5), 752-761.
- Panyakapo, P., & Panyakapo, M. (2008). Reuse of thermosetting plastic waste for lightweight concrete. *Waste management*, 28(9), 1581-1588.
- Patel, R., Dubey, S. K., & Pathak, K. K. (2013). Analysis of RC brick filled composite beams using MIF. *Procedia Engineering*, 51, 30-34.
- Pham, H. (2017).HaoEffect of the plastic hinge and boundary conditions on the impact behavior of reinforced concrete beams *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, 102, 74-85
- Patil, P. S., Mali, J. R., Tapkire, G. V., & Kumavat, H. R. (2014). Innovative techniques of waste plastic used in concrete mixture. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 3(9), 1-4.
- Perry, C., & Gillott, J. E. (1977). The influence of mortar-aggregate bond strength on the behaviour of concrete in uniaxial compression. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 7(5), 553-564
- Peyvandi, Amirpasha, Parviz Soroushian, Anagi M. Balachandra, and Konstantin Sobolev. (2013): Enhancement of the durability characteristics of concrete

- nanocomposite pipes with modified graphite nanoplatelets. *Construction and building materials* 47, 111-117.
- Puri, N., Kumar, B., & Tyagi, H. (2013). Utilization of recycled wastes as ingredients in concrete mix. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, 2(2), 74-78.
- Raghavendra, D. S., & Sivakumar, A. (2015). Compressive strength index of different types of light weight plastic aggregate concrete. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 8(2), 106-111.
- Rakesh Patel, S.K. Dubey, K.K. Pathak (2014) Analysis of infilled beams using method of initial functions and comparison with FEM *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal* 17(3), 158-164.
- Ramadevi, K., & Manju, R. (2012). Experimental investigation on the properties of concrete with plastic PET (bottle) fibres as fine aggregates. *International journal of emerging technology and advanced engineering*, 2(6), 42-46.
- Rao, G. A., & Prasad, B. R. (2002). Influence of the roughness of aggregate surface on the interface bond strength. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 32(2), 253-257.
- Reddy, M. M. K, Ajitha. B, and Bhavani. R, (2012). Melt-Densified Post-Consumer Recycled Plastic Bags Used As Light Weight Aggregate In Concrete. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA)* ISSN, PP 2248-9622.
- Russo, A., & Zuccarello, B. (2007). Experimental and numerical evaluation of the mechanical behaviour of GFRP sandwich panels. *Composite Structures*, 81(4), 575-586.
- Riedel W., Thoma K., Hiermaier S., Schmolinske E. (1999) Penetration of Reinforced Concrete by BETA-B-500, Numerical Analysis using a New Macroscopic Concrete Model for Hydrocodes. Proc. (CD-ROM) 9. *Internationales Symposium, Interaction of the Effects of Munitions with Structures, Berlin Strausberg*, 03.(07) 315 – 322
- Saatci, S., & Vecchio, F. J. (2009). Nonlinear finite element modeling of reinforced concrete structures under impact loads. *ACI Structural Journal*, 106(5), 717.
- Saikia, N., & de Brito, J. (2012). Use of plastic waste as aggregate in cement mortar and concrete preparation: A review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 34, 385-401.

- Saikia, N., & de Brito, J. (2012). Use of plastic waste as aggregate in cement mortar and concrete preparation: A review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 34, 385-401.
- Sangi, A. J. Reinforced concrete structures under impact loads. Ph.D. Thesis, Heriot-Watt University.2001.
- strengthened by externally bonded carbon fiber strips. *journal of Engineering and Sustainable Development* 21(01).
- Shivakumar, K N, Elber, W and Illg, W. (1985) Prediction of low velocity impact damage in thin circular laminates. *American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Journal*,. 442-449.
- Siddique, R., Khatib, J., & Kaur, I. (2008). Use of recycled plastic in concrete: a review. *Waste management*, 28(10), 1835-1852.
- Subramani, T., & Pugal, V. K. (2015). Experimental study on plastic waste as a coarse aggregate for structural concrete. *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management (IJAIEEM)*, 4(5), 144-152.
- Sumajouw, M.D.J, and Rangan, B.V.(2006) Low-Calcium Fly Ash – Based Geopolymer Concrete: Reinforced Beams and Columns Research Report GC3, Faculty of Engineering, Curtin University of Technology.
- Schaumann, E., Vallee, T. and Keller, T. (2009) Modeling of Direct Load Transmission in Lightweight Concrete-Core Sandwich Beams”, *ACI Structural Journal*.; 106, 435-445.
- Slate, F. O., & Olsefski, S. (1963). X-rays for study of internal structure and microcracking of concrete. *In Journal Proceedings*60(5) 575-588).
- Tachibana, S., Masuya, H., & Nakamura, S. (2010). Performance based design of reinforced concrete beams under impact. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 10(6), 1069-1078.
- Tang, T., & Saadatmanesh, H. (2003). Behavior of concrete beams strengthened with fiber-reinforced polymer laminates under impact loading. *Journal of composites for construction*, 7(3), 209-218.
- Thabet, A., & Haldane, D. (2000). Three-dimensional simulation of nonlinear response of reinforced concrete members subjected to impact loading. *Structural Journal*, 97(5), 689-702.
- Thomas, T. C., & Slate, F. O. (1963). Tensile bond strength between aggregate and cement paste or mortar. *In Journal Proceedings* 60(4), 465-486).

- Turatsinze, A., Bonnet, S., & Granju, J. L. (2007). Potential of rubber aggregates to modify properties of cement based-mortars: improvement in cracking shrinkage resistance. *Construction and Building Materials*, 21(1), 176-181.
- Vaidya, U. K. (2011). Impact response of laminated and sandwich composites. *In Impact Engineering of Composite Structures* . 97-191.
- Vimonsatit, V., Wahyuni, A., & Nikraz, H. (2012). Reinforced concrete beams with lightweight concrete infill. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 7(27), 2370-2379.
- Wang, N., Mindess, S., & Ko, K. (1996). Fibre reinforced concrete beams under impact loading. *Cement and concrete research*, 26(3), 363-376.
- Yuan, H. P., Shen, L. Y., Hao, J. J., & Lu, W. S. (2011). A model for cost-benefit analysis of construction and demolition waste management throughout the waste chain. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, 55(6), 604-612.
- Zhan, Tingbian, Zhihua Wang, and Jianguo Ning. (2015): Failure behaviors of reinforced concrete beams subjected to high impact loading. *Engineering Failure Analysis* 56 233-243.
- Zhang, X. Z., Liu, L. L., & Tang, K. D. (2013). Nonlinear Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Beam by ANSYS. *In Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 1(438), 663-666.
- Zineddin, M., & Krauthammer, T. (2007). Dynamic response and behavior of reinforced concrete slabs under impact loading. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 34(9), 1517-1534.
- Zoorob, S. E., & Suparma, L. B. (2000). Laboratory design and investigation of the properties of continuously graded Asphaltic concrete containing recycled plastics aggregate replacemen. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 22(4), 233-242.